1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

DAWN CLAIBORNE,

Plaintiff,
vs.

SUMMIT TRADING COMPANY, INC., a
Washington Corporation, and TOM MARSHALL
and "JANE DOE" MARSHALL and the marital
community thereof,

NO. CV-10-5676

STIPULATION AND ORDER PERMITTING AMENDED COMPLAINT AND REMANDING CASE TO PIERCE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

## I. STIPULATION

Defendants.

On August 13, 2010, plaintiff filed a Complaint in Pierce County Superior Court which included certain allegations that appeared to state a claim for breach of contract. Defendants contended that this claim arose under the collective bargaining agreement, if it existed at all. The complaint was first served on or about September 14, 2010. Defendants removed this action on September 21, 2010 based on federal question jurisdiction under 29 USC 185(a).

Plaintiff now seeks to file an Amended Complaint which removes the breach of contract allegations. A copy of the proposed Amended Complaint is attached as Exhibit A.

STIP/ORDER PERMITTING AMENDED COMPLAINT
AND REMANDING CASE TO PIERCE COUNTY SC - 1 of 3
[Claiborne Stip and Order Permitting Amended Complaint and Remanding PCSC.doc]

LAW OFFICES
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP
1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100
POST OFFICE BOX 1.157
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157
(253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Because more than 21 days have passed since defendants answered the initial complaint, FRCP 15(a)(2) requires defendants' consent or leave of court. Defendants do not object to the attached Amended Complaint.

Once the Amended Complaint is filed and the breach of contract claim removed from this action, there is no federal claim remaining in the case. Therefore, once the Amended Complaint is filed and the breach of contract claim is removed from the case, the parties stipulate that this matter should be remanded to Pierce County Superior Court.

Dated this 20th day of October, 2010.

DAVIS LAW PLLC

## GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP

By: <u>/s/ Michael J. Davis 10/20/10</u>
Michael J. Davis, WSBA #25846
<u>mjdlaw1@msn.com</u>
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: <u>/s/ Warren E. Martin 10/20/10</u>
Warren E. Martin, WSBA #17235
<u>wmartin@gth-law.com</u>
Attorneys for Defendants

## II. ORDER

Based on the foregoing stipulation of the parties, it is hereby ORDERED:

- The Amended Complaint attached to this Stipulation as Exhibit A shall be filed.
- 2. Once the Amended Complaint is filed, and the breach of contract claim is removed from this action, there are no remaining federal questions in this case.
- 3. Therefore, upon filing of the Amended Complaint, this matter is remanded to the Pierce County Superior Court.

Dated this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of October, 2010. 1 2 3 4 RONALD B. LEIGHTON 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 6 Presented Jointly By: 7 DAVIS LAW PLLC GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP 8 9 By: /s/ Michael J. Davis 10/20/10 By: /s/Warren E. Martin 10/20/10 10 Michael J. Davis, WSBA #25846 Warren E. Martin, WSBA #17235 mjdlaw1@msn.com wmartin@gth-law.com 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendants 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26